UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/830,195	04/22/2004	Marcia Buiser	01194-459001	7713
26161 FISH & RICHA	7590 10/21/200 ARDSON PC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1022	S, MN 55440-1022	SCHLIENTZ, LEAH H		
MINNEAPOLI	5, MIN 55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1618	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/21/2009	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/830,195	BUISER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Lean Comientz	1010	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 29 September 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Continued Examination (RCE) in continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Continued Examination (RCE) in con	replies: (1) an amendment, affidaveal (with appeal fee) in compliance CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed	it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection	on.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(		LINGINEFEI WASIII	LLD WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropria	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	out prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered be	cause
(a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•		
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	-		he issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>see below</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		empliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).			_
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		II be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6-11,13,15,17,19-31,49-54, and 56</u>	<u>-63</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Michael G. Hartley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1618			
•			

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Application No.

The amendment would require further consideration and/or search because the limitations such as specific pore sizes and particle regions are introduced that were not previously limited as such. In addition, claims 2-4, 11, 23-31, 49-53, 60, 62 and 63 were previously examined without the limitation of claims 7 and 8, therefore, it would require further search and consideration of claims 2-4, 11, 23-31, 49-53, 60, 62 and 63 which have acquired a new limitation.